Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/754,797	DUKE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) Matthew A. Newboles.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 February 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Prior Art Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 11/2	4/04	
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER It was agreed to amend the claims, and cancel claim 3 as shown prior art of record in view of pages 4 and 5 of the instant specifical	in the Examiner's Amendment to	
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Heter a. Hruskoa		<u></u>
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)